Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Is it not a fact whether the Madras Government took objection to the width designed by the Mysore Government and therefore the width had to be limited ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not aware.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು, ನಮ್ಮ ಇ ಜನೀಯರುಗಳು ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ ಅಂದಾಜುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಗಲೀ ರಿವ್ಯಸು ಮಾಡದೇ ಇರುವುದು ಒಂದಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಬಹಳ ಇವೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the length of channel which will bring about 50,000 acres of land under irrigation ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I want notice, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟಿನ ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೆಷ್ಟ ಎಕರೆವಾರು ಹಂಚಿದರೆ ಎಕರೆ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ನುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆಗೆ 3,000 ರೂ.ಗಳು ಬೀಳಬಹುದು.

Special category of Hindi teachers for teaching Hindi from V to VII Standards in certain Primary Schools of the Mysore State.

*Q.—428. Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that there is a special category of Hindi Teachers for teaching Hindi from Standards V to VII in certain Primary Schools in each Taluk in the State and particularly in Ex-Bombay Karnatak area ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that these teachers are trained in Hindi after passing S.S.C. or Vernacular Final examination ;

(*c*) whether it is not a fact that these are full-time teachers ;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that these teachers are only paid the salaries that they were getting in 1957 with no increments and that they are not given any benefits of the rise in scales since 1957 ;

(*e*) whether it is a fact that recently a few more S.S.C. teachers with Hindi Praveshika or Rashtrabhasha qualification are appointed as regular teachers by School Boards and are entitled to the new grades, increments, etc. ;

(*f*) if so, why is this discrimination against the teachers who have passed Visharad and are trained in Hindi teaching ?

A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Yes. Consequent on the introduction of Hindi as a compulsory subject for classes VI and VII, Hindi Teachers have been provided in all the Districts.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) They are full-time teachers; part-time teachers are also working wherever there is provision.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) Does not arise in view of the reply to clause (*d*).

Sri B. P. KADAM.—In answer to (c) it is stated that they are full-time teachers; part-time teachers are also working wherever there is provision. May I know how many of them are full-time and how many of them are part-time teachers?

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, I cannot give off hand the number of full-time and part-time teachers. There are full-time and part time teachers there.

Sri B. P. KADAM.— May I know what is the criterion to call them part-time and full time teachers?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.— The full-time teachers are given certain numbers of hours per week and those who are given less number of hours are called part-time teachers and they are given part-time wages.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Whether these two categories of teachers teach all the hours of the day as other part-time teachers of the primary schools?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.— The Hon'ble Member is the part-time with the full time teachers. As I have said the number of part-time teachers is small and the number of full-time teachers in Bombay-Karnatak area is large.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—With regard to supplementary (d), may I know how many increments they have got since 1957?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—In 1961, the qualifications of full-time Hindi teachers were changed. In ex-Mysore, there were no full-time teachers, and when the Government of India offered us that they would meet hundred per cent grant, we thought of employing full-time teachers. The qualifications for these full-time teachers from 1961 have to be a pass in S. S. L. C. plus some Hindi examination. In Bombay-Karnatak area there are teachers who have not passed the S. S, L. C. examination. In 1957, they were equated with the full-time teachers and they were given the same scales of pay as was available on 1-1-1957. An audit objection was taken and subsequently these scales of pay could not be given, and orders have been passed that whatever has been paid to them in excess must be recovered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಿ ಟೀಚರುಗಳೇ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.— Which school does the Hon'ble Member mean? This question relates only to Ex-Bombay-Karnatak area. Which is the one that he is having in mind?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್ ಕಂಠಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ—ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದ ವಿಚಾರವೇ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ—ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಿ ಟೀಚರ್ಸ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—Full time workers ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಒಂದು ವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ part time worker ಎಷ್ಟು ಗಂಟೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾನೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—Part time worker VI and VII ಕ್ಲಾಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಮೂರು ಗಂಟೆಯಂತೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ಆರು ಗಂಟೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Are the Government aware that in North Kanara there are a number of teachers having passed Pravesika and Rashtra Bhasha examinations in Hindi and undergone training and working in the primary schools since 1957 under the School Board ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—We are also aware that these teachers are a legacy to the new Mysore State and they have been there since a long time.

Submission of a Memorandum to the Prime Minister for "Scientific and Equitable" Reallocation of Krishna-Godavari waters.

*Q.—490. Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether Mysore Government have decided to submit a Memorandum to the Prime Minister in its case for " scientific and equitable " reallocation of the Krishna-Godavari waters to undo the '1951' injustice ;

(*b*) if the answer to (*a*) above is in the affirmative then what are the salient features on which it will be drafted and in what respects does it differ from the earlier memorandum supplied to the members ?

A—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) They have submitted a memorandum.

(*b*) It was on the basis of the stand all along advocated by Mysore (*Vide* copy of the Memorandum placed on the Table of the House) † (Placed in Mysore Legislative Library *Vide* No.18/L.A Bud. 63)

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the recent decision which was taken by the Ministry of Irrigation and power has no concurrence of the Prime Minister ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The question must be directed to the Prime Minister.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does not the Hon'ble Member think that the matter was sufficiently discussed ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the present decision of the Ministry of Irrigation and Power has the concurrence of the Prime Minister also ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—As I have already submitted, my friend may direct the question to the Prime Minister.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the recent decision of the Government of India was discussed in the Uinon Cabinet and a decision was taken by the Union Cabinet.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have no information about that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಮೊನ್ನೆ ತಾನೆ ತಾವು ದಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಆಗ್ಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ನೀರು ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವಿರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ವಿಚಾರ ವಿನಿಮಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನಮಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇನ್ನೊಮ್ಮೆ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.